Nested Krylov methods for shifted linear systems

4th IMA-NLAO Conference

M. Baumann^{*,†} and M. B. van Gijzen[†]

*Email: M.M.Baumann@tudelft.nl [†]Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics Delft University of Technology Delft, The Netherlands

September 3, 2014



▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Motivation

Full-waveform inversion

PDE-constrained optimization:

$$\min_{\rho(\mathbf{x}),\ldots} \|\mathbf{u}_{sim} - \mathbf{u}_{meas}\|,$$



where in our application:

- **u**_{sim} is the (numerical) solution of the elastic wave equation,
- **u**_{meas} is obtained from measurements,
- $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ is the density of the earth layers we are interested in.

The modelling is done in frequency domain...



Motivation Modelling in frequency domain

Frequency domain approach:

The time-harmonic elastic wave equation For many (angular) frequencies ω_k , we solve

$$-\omega_k^2
ho(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{u}} -
abla \cdot \sigma(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = \hat{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,3},$$

together with absorbing or reflecting boundary conditions.

Inverse (discrete) Fourier transform:

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{k} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{k}) e^{i\omega_{k}t}$$



· • @ • • Ξ • • Ξ •

Motivation Shifted linear systems

The **discretized** time-harmonic elastic wave equation is quadratic in ω_k :

$$(K+i\omega_k C-\omega_k^2 M)\underline{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}=\underline{\hat{\mathbf{r}}},$$

which can be re-arranged as,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} iM^{-1}C & M^{-1}K \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \omega_k \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_k \hat{\underline{\mathbf{u}}} \\ \hat{\underline{\mathbf{u}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M^{-1} \hat{\underline{\mathbf{r}}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The latter is of the form:

$$(A - \omega_k I)\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{b}, \quad k = 1, ..., N.$$



M. Baumann

Motivation Shifted linear systems

The **discretized** time-harmonic elastic wave equation is quadratic in ω_k :

$$(K+i\omega_k C-\omega_k^2 M)\underline{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}=\underline{\hat{\mathbf{r}}},$$

which can be re-arranged as,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} iM^{-1}C & M^{-1}K \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \omega_k \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_k \hat{\underline{\mathbf{u}}} \\ \hat{\underline{\mathbf{u}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M^{-1} \hat{\underline{\mathbf{r}}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The latter is of the form:

$$(A - \omega_k I)\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{k} = 1, ..., N.$$



M. Baumann

Outline



- 2 Multi-shift Krylov methods
- Preconditioning for multi-shift Krylov methods
- 4 Nested multi-shift Krylov methods
- 5 Numerical results





< □ ▶

· • @ • • Ξ • • Ξ •

What's a shifted linear system?

Definition Shifted linear systems are of the form $(A - \omega I)\mathbf{x}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{b},$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is the *shift*.

For the simultaneous solution, **Krylov methods** are well-suited because of the *shift-invariance* property:

 $\mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{b}) \equiv \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}, ..., A^{m-1}\mathbf{b}\} = \mathcal{K}_m(A - \omega I, \mathbf{b}).$

"Proof" (shift-invariance)

For
$$m = 2$$
: $\mathcal{K}_2(A, \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}\}\$
 $\mathcal{K}_2(A - \omega I, \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b} - \omega \mathbf{b}\} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}\}$



· • @ • • = • • = •

What's a shifted linear system?

Definition Shifted linear systems are of the form $(A - \omega I)\mathbf{x}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{b},$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is the *shift*.

For the simultaneous solution, **Krylov methods** are well-suited because of the *shift-invariance* property:

$$\mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{b}) \equiv \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}, ..., A^{m-1}\mathbf{b}\} = \mathcal{K}_m(A - \omega I, \mathbf{b}).$$

"Proof" (shift-invariance) For m = 2: $\mathcal{K}_2(A, \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}\}$ $\mathcal{K}_2(A - \omega I, \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b} - \omega \mathbf{b}\} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}\}$



· • @ • • Ξ • • Ξ •

What's a shifted linear system?

Definition Shifted linear systems are of the form $(A - \omega I)\mathbf{x}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{b},$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is the *shift*.

For the simultaneous solution, **Krylov methods** are well-suited because of the *shift-invariance* property:

$$\mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{b}) \equiv \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}, ..., A^{m-1}\mathbf{b}\} = \mathcal{K}_m(A - \omega I, \mathbf{b}).$$

"Proof" (shift-invariance)
For
$$m = 2$$
: $\mathcal{K}_2(A, \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}\}$
 $\mathcal{K}_2(A - \omega I, \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b} - \omega \mathbf{b}\} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}\}$



· • @ • • = • • = •

For example, multi-shift GMRES

After *m* steps of Arnoldi, we have,

$$AV_m = V_{m+1}\underline{H}_m,$$

and the approximate solution yields:

$$\mathbf{x}_m pprox V_m \mathbf{y}_m, \quad ext{where } \mathbf{y}_m = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m} \| \underline{\mathbf{H}}_m \mathbf{y} - \| \mathbf{b} \| \mathbf{e}_1 \| \, .$$

For shifted systems, we get

$$(A - \omega I)V_m = V_{m+1}(\underline{\mathbf{H}}_m - \omega \underline{\mathbf{I}}_m),$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathbf{x}_m^{(\omega)} pprox V_m \mathbf{y}_m^{(\omega)}, \quad ext{where } \mathbf{y}_m^{(\omega)} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m} \left\| \underline{\mathsf{H}}_m^{(\omega)} \mathbf{y} - \| \mathbf{b} \| \mathbf{e_1} \right\|.$$



< /⊒ ► < ≣

For example, multi-shift GMRES

After *m* steps of Arnoldi, we have,

$$AV_m = V_{m+1}\underline{H}_m,$$

and the approximate solution yields:

$$\mathbf{x}_m pprox V_m \mathbf{y}_m, \quad ext{where } \mathbf{y}_m = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m} \| \underline{\mathbf{H}}_m \mathbf{y} - \| \mathbf{b} \| \mathbf{e}_1 \| \, .$$

For shifted systems, we get

$$(A - \omega I)V_m = V_{m+1}(\underline{\mathsf{H}}_m - \omega \underline{\mathsf{I}}_m),$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathbf{x}_m^{(\omega)} pprox V_m \mathbf{y}_m^{(\omega)}, \quad ext{where } \mathbf{y}_m^{(\omega)} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m} \left\| \underline{\mathbf{H}}_m^{(\omega)} \mathbf{y} - \| \mathbf{b} \| \mathbf{e}_1
ight\|.$$



TUDelft

For example, multi-shift GMRES

After *m* steps of Arnoldi, we have,

$$AV_m = V_{m+1}\underline{H}_m,$$

and the approximate solution yields:

$$\mathbf{x}_m pprox \mathbf{V}_m \mathbf{y}_m, \quad ext{where } \mathbf{y}_m = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m} \| \underline{\mathbf{H}}_m \mathbf{y} - \| \mathbf{b} \| \mathbf{e}_1 \|.$$

For shifted systems, we get

$$(A - \omega I)V_m = V_{m+1}(\underline{\mathbf{H}}_m - \omega \underline{\mathbf{I}}_m),$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathbf{x}_m^{(\omega)} pprox \mathbf{V}_m \mathbf{y}_m^{(\omega)}, \quad ext{where } \mathbf{y}_m^{(\omega)} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m} \left\| \underline{\mathbf{H}}_m^{(\omega)} \mathbf{y} - \| \mathbf{b} \| \mathbf{e}_1 \right\|.$$



TUDelft

Preconditioning is a problem

Main disadvantage:

Preconditioners are in general not easy to apply. For

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}_{\omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\omega}\mathbf{x}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{y}^{(\omega)}$$

it does not hold:

$$\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{AP}^{-1},\mathbf{b})\neq\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{AP}^{-1}_\omega-\omega\mathcal{P}^{-1}_\omega,\mathbf{b}).$$

However, there are ways...

Reference

Y. A. Erlangga, *A robust and efficient iterative method for the numerical solution of the Helmholtz equation.* PhD thesis, TU Delft, 2005.



· • @ • • = • • = •

Preconditioning is a problem

Main disadvantage:

Preconditioners are in general not easy to apply. For

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}_{\omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\omega}\mathbf{x}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{y}^{(\omega)}$$

it does not hold:

$$\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{AP}^{-1},\mathbf{b})\neq \mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{AP}_{\omega}^{-1}-\omega\mathcal{P}_{\omega}^{-1},\mathbf{b}).$$

However, there are ways...

Reference

Y. A. Erlangga, A robust and efficient iterative method for the numerical solution of the Helmholtz equation. PhD thesis, TU Delft, 2005.



Preconditioning is a problem

... or has been a problem?

Short historical overview:

• (Single) shift-and-invert preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P} = (\mathbf{A} - \tau \mathbf{I}), \quad \tau \approx \{\omega_1, ..., \omega_N\}$$

• Many shift-and-invert preconditioners:

$$\mathcal{P}_j = (A - \tau_j I)$$

• Polynomial preconditioners:

$$p_n(A) \approx \mathcal{P}^{-1}, \quad p_n^{\omega}(A) \approx \mathcal{P}_{\omega}^{-1}$$

• Today's talk: nested Krylov methods

TUDelft

Nested Krylov methods for shifted linear systems

Our approach:

- Use a *flexible* preconditioner, $\mathcal{P}(\omega)_i^{-1}$
- this flexible preconditioner is a truncated multi-shift Krylov method itself ("inner" method)
- we require the inner method to produce collinear residuals, i.e. $\mathbf{r}_{j}^{(\omega)} = \gamma \mathbf{r}_{j}$. This is the case for:
 - multi-shift GMRES [1998]
 - multi-shift FOM [2003]
 - multi-shift BiCG [2003]
 - multi-shift IDR(s) [2014]
- using γ, we can preserve the shift-invariance in the "outer" Krylov method



/□ ▶ ◀ 글 ▶ ◀

Nested Krylov methods for shifted linear systems

Overview of one possible combination:







M. Baumann

Use flexible GMRES in the outer loop,

$$(A-\omega I)\widehat{V}_m=V_{m+1}\underline{H}_m^{(\omega)},$$

where one column yields

$$(A - \omega I) \underbrace{\mathcal{P}(\omega)_j^{-1} \mathbf{v}_j}_{\text{inner loop}} = V_{m+1} \underline{\mathbf{h}}_j^{(\omega)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

The "inner loop" is the truncated solution of $(A - \omega I)$ with right-hand side \mathbf{v}_i using e.g. msFOM.



Use flexible GMRES in the outer loop,

$$(A-\omega I)\widehat{V}_m=V_{m+1}\underline{H}_m^{(\omega)},$$

where one column yields

$$(A - \omega I) \underbrace{\mathcal{P}(\omega)_j^{-1} \mathbf{v}_j}_{\text{inner loop}} = V_{m+1} \underline{\mathbf{h}}_j^{(\omega)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

The "inner loop" is the truncated solution of $(A - \omega I)$ with right-hand side \mathbf{v}_i using e.g. msFOM.



The inner residuals are:

$$\mathbf{r}_{j}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{v}_{j} - (A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j},$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{j} = \mathbf{v}_{j} - A\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j},$$

Imposing
$$\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(\omega)} = \gamma \mathbf{r}_{j}$$
 yields:

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_j^{-1}\mathbf{v}_j = \gamma A \mathcal{P}_j^{-1}\mathbf{v}_j - (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v}_j \qquad (*)$$

Note that the right-hand side in (*) is a preconditioned shifted system!



The inner residuals are:

$$\mathbf{r}_{j}^{(\omega)} = \mathbf{v}_{j} - (A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j},$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{j} = \mathbf{v}_{j} - A\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j},$$

Imposing
$$\mathbf{r}_{j}^{(\omega)} = \gamma \mathbf{r}_{j}$$
 yields:
 $(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} = \gamma A \mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v}_{j}$ (*)

Note that the right-hand side in (*) is a preconditioned shifted system!



Altogether,

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma A\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - V_{m+1}(\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$V_{m+1}(\gamma \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j}) = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$

which yields:

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m}^{(\omega)} = (\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m} - \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m})\,\mathbf{\Gamma}_{m} + \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m},$$

with $\Gamma_m := diag(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m)$.



Altogether,

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma A\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - V_{m+1}(\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$V_{m+1}(\gamma \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j}) = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$

which yields:

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m}^{(\omega)} = (\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m} - \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m})\,\mathbf{\Gamma}_{m} + \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m},$$

with $\Gamma_m := diag(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m)$.



Altogether,

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma A\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - V_{m+1}(\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$V_{m+1}(\gamma \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j}) = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$

which yields:

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m}^{(\omega)} = (\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m} - \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m})\,\mathbf{\Gamma}_{m} + \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m},$$

with $\Gamma_m := diag(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m)$.



4 / 20

Altogether,

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma A\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - V_{m+1}(\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$V_{m+1}(\gamma \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j}) = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$

which yields:

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m}^{(\omega)} = (\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{m} - \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m})\,\mathbf{\Gamma}_{m} + \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{m},$$

with $\Gamma_m := diag(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m)$.



Altogether,

$$(A - \omega I)\mathcal{P}(\omega)_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma A\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$\gamma V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - V_{m+1}(\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j} = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$
$$V_{m+1}(\gamma \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j} - (\gamma - 1)\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{j}) = V_{m+1}\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{(\omega)}$$

which yields:

$$\underline{H}_{m}^{(\omega)} = (\underline{H}_{m} - \underline{I}_{m}) \Gamma_{m} + \underline{I}_{m},$$
with $\Gamma_{m} := diag(\gamma_{1}, ..., \gamma_{m}).$





TUDelft

A first example - The setting

Test case from literature:

- $\Omega = [0,1] \times [0,1]$
- *h* = 0.01 implying
 n = 10.201 grid points
- system size:
 4n = 40.804
- N = 6 frequencies
- point source at center

Reference

• T. Airaksinen, A. Pennanen, J. Toivanen, *A damping preconditioner* for time-harmonic wave equations in fluid and elastic material. Journal of Computational Physics, 2009.



▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

M. Baumann

TUDelft

A first example - Convergence behavior 1/2

Preconditioned multi-shift GMRES:



We observe:

- simultaneous solve
- CPU time: 17.71s

· • @ • • = • • = •

TUDelft

16 / 20

A first example - Convergence behavior 2/2

Preconditioned nested FOM-FGMRES:



We observe:

- 30 inner iterations
- $\bullet\,$ truncate when inner residual norm $\sim 0.1\,$
- very few outer iterations
- CPU time: 9.62s

A first example - More nested methods

We were running the same setting with different (nested) multi-shift Krylov methods:

	multi-shift Krylov methods			
	msGMRES	rest_msGMRES	QMRIDR(4)	msIDR(4)
# inner iterations	-	20	-	-
# outer iterations	103	7	136	134
seed shift τ	0.7-0.7i	0.7-0.7i	0.7-0.7i	0.7-0.7i
CPU time	17.71s	6.13s	22.35s	22.58s
	nested multi-shift Krylov methods			
	FOM-FGMRES	IDR(4)-FGMRES	FOM-FQMRIDR(4)	IDR(4)-FQMRIDR(4)
# inner iterations	30	25	30	30
# outer iterations	7	9	5	15
seed shift τ	0.7-0.7i	0.7-0.7i	0.7-0.7i	0.7-0.7i
CPU time	9.62s	32.99s	8.14s	58.36s



Summary

- ✓ Nested Krylov methods for Ax = b are widely used → extension to shifted linear systems is possible
- Multiple combinations of inner-outer methods possible, e.g. FOM-FGMRES, IDR-FQMRIDR, ...
- The shift-and-invert preconditioner (or the polynomial preconditioner) can be applied on top
- X Future work: recycling, deflation, ...



M. Baumann

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶

Thank you for your attention!

Further reading:

M. Baumann and M. B. van Gijzen. *Nested Krylov methods for shifted linear systems.* DIAM technical report 14-01, 2014.

Further coding:

https://bitbucket.org/ManuelMBaumann/nestedkrylov



</₽> < E> < E>